

Interview Summary	Applicant No.	Applicant(s)
	09/484,259	COATES ET AL.
	Examiner Tarifur R Chowdhury	Art Unit 2871

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tarifur R Chowdhury. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Richard Traverso. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 June 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,15,20-22,30 and 37-39.

Identification of prior art discussed: USPAT 4,112,157.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



T. Chowdhury
Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative was notified that the claims as it is now in the instant application reads on the cited references and are thus not allowable. Further, applicant's representative was also notified that the degree of smoothness of the alignment layer need to be included in the claims for further consideration to define patentability. Applicant's representative also notified the examiner that once the application is ready for allowance all the claims that are related to only aluminum coating will be canceled. .